Case 2:21-cv-01254-ART-EJY Document 108 Filed 04/24/25

Page 1 of 4

Plaintiffs Bob McClelland, Jr., et al. ("Plaintiffs") and Bank of America, N.A. ("BANA," jointly Plaintiffs, the "Parties"), by and through their respective counsel, hereby submit this stipulation (i) to file the Third Amended Consolidated Complaint ("TACC"), (ii) to strike duplicative allegations in the TACC, (iii) to amend the briefing schedule, and (iv) to exceed the page limits for BANA's Motion to Dismiss the TACC (the "Motion").

The Parties' Present Briefing Schedule

WHEREAS, on August 9, 2024, the Court granted in part and denied in part BANA's Motion to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint and ordered that Plaintiffs' Second Amended Consolidated Complaint ("SACC") would be due by September 9, 2024, at ECF No. 60.

WHEREAS, on November 20, 2024, pursuant to a stipulation by the Parties, the Court ordered that Plaintiffs' SACC would be due no later than January 3, 2025. See ECF Nos. 88, 89.

WHEREAS, on December 27, 2024, Plaintiffs filed their SACC, at ECF No. 91.

WHEREAS, on February 21, 2025, pursuant to a stipulation by the Parties, the Court ordered that BANA's Motion would be due on April 28, 2025, Plaintiffs' Opposition to the Motion would be due on June 13, 2025, and BANA's Reply in support of its Motion would be due on July 11, 2025. See ECF Nos. 97, 98.

WHEREAS, on April 18, 2025, Plaintiffs moved for leave to file the TACC (the "TACC Motion"). See ECF No. 105.

Good Cause Exists to Strike the Second Set of Allegations of Hollie Peterson from the TACC.

WHEREAS, while Plaintiffs numbered 159 Plaintiffs in the SACC, two Plaintiffs, Hollie Peterson and Frances V. Prado, were listed twice. Compare ECF No. 91 at ¶¶ 81-84, 369-374 with *id.* at ¶¶ 168-171, 666-671.

WHEREAS, on March 14, 2025, pursuant to the Parties' Stipulation, the Court struck the allegations listed in Hollie Peterson's second appearance (SACC ¶ 168-171) and Frances V. Prado's second appearance (as Frances V. Prado) (id. ¶¶ 666-671) from the SACC. See Stipulation

2

8

9

6

11

14

13

16

15

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

and Order to Strike Paragraphs 168-171 and Paragraphs 666-671 of Plaintiffs' Second Amended Consolidated Complaint, ECF nos. 100, 101.

WHEREAS, while the TACC omits the second appearance of Frances V. Prado, the TACC still incorrectly includes Hollie Peterson twice. *Compare* ECF No. 105-1 at ¶¶ 81-84 *with id.* at ¶¶ 159-162.

Good Cause Exists to Modify the Schedule.

WHEREAS, BANA's Motion to Dismiss the SACC is presently due on April 28, 2025, which is before its response to the TACC Motion, May 2, 2025.

WHEREAS, in the interests of efficiency and judicial economy, the Parties agree that the TACC should be filed within two business days after the Court's Order on this Stipulation; that BANA's Motion to Dismiss the new operative complaint (the TACC) shall be due on May 9, 2025; and that the Parties shall otherwise maintain the current Court-ordered briefing schedule on the motion to dismiss the operative complaint.

Good Cause Exists to Exceed Page Limits on the Motion.

WHEREAS, on April 18, 2025, Plaintiffs filed their 206-page TACC. ECF No. 105-1. Within its 708 paragraphs, the TACC sets forth allegations for 145 Plaintiffs and asserts 10 causes of action. *See id.* To adequately address the deficiencies of the TACC, BANA requires an additional 10 pages above the 34-page limit set by L.R. 7-3. Permitting these additional pages would serve to further judicial efficiency because BANA's Motion will narrow the claims that would need to be litigated by the Parties. However, additional pages are required to set forth fulsome arguments for the Court's consideration.

Based on the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED THAT:

- 1. Plaintiffs shall file the TACC, attached hereto as Exhibit 1, within two court days after the Court's order on this Stipulation;
- 2. Paragraphs 159 162 of the TACC shall be stricken;
- 3. BANA's Motion to Dismiss the TACC (the "Motion") shall be due on May 9, 2025;
- 4. Plaintiffs' Opposition to the Motion shall be due on June 13, 2025;

3

1	5. BANA's Reply in support of the Motic	on shall be due on July 11, 2025; and
2	6. BANA's Motion shall be granted an additional 10 pages above the 24-page limit set by L.R.	
3		ditional 10 pages above the 24-page minit set by L.K.
4	7-3.	
5	Dated: April 23, 2025	Dated: April 23, 2025
6	Electronic Signature Authorized	
7	By: /s/ George Haines	By: /s/ Laura Brys
8	Michael Kind (No. 13903)	James McGarry (pro hac vice)
9	KIND LAW 8860 South Maryland Parkway, Suite 106	GOODWIN PROCTER LLP 100 Northern Avenue
9	Las Vegas, Nevada 89123	Boston, MA 02210
10	(702) 337-2322	(617) 570-1000
11	mk@kindlaw.com	jmcgarry@goodwinlaw.com
12	George Haines (No. 9411)	Laura G. Brys (pro hac vice)
12	Gerardo Avalos (No. 15171)	GOODWIN PROCTER LLP
13	FREEDOM LAW FIRM	601 S Figueroa St. Ste 4100
14	8985 S. Eastern Ave., Suite 350	Los Angeles, CA 90017
17	Las Vegas, Nevada 89123 (702) 880-5554	Tel.: 213.426.2500 Email: lbrys@goodwinlaw.com
15	ghaines@freedomlegalteam.com	iorys@goodwiiiaw.com
16	gavalos@freedomlegalteam.com	Yvonne Chan (pro hac vice)
10	ggg	JONES DAY
17	Joshua Swigart (pro hac vice)	100 High Street
10	SWIGART LAW GROUP, APC	Boston, MA 02210
18	221 Camino del Rio S., Suite 308	(617) 449-6914
19	San Diego, CA 92108	ychan@jonesday.com
20	(866) 219-3343 josh@swigartlawgroup.com	Attorneys for Defendant Bank of America, N.A.
	Attorneys for Plaintiff Tiffany Yip, et al.	
21	Anomeys for Framing Tyjany Tip, et al.	
22		IT IS SO ORDERED.
23		800
24		Clayna J. Louchah
25		U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26		Date: April 24, 2025
27		
28		
R LLP		4
v	JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER RE: THIRD	

GOODWIN PROCTER LL
ATTORNEYS AT LAW